

STATE OF MINNESOTA
DISTRICT COURT

FILED
HENNEPIN COUNTY
MAR 8 12 05 PM '11
COUNTY CLERK
MUNICIPAL COURT

COUNTY OF HENNEPIN
FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Christopher A. Roller,

File No. 27-CO-11-499;
27-CO-11-502

Plaintiff,

vs.

State of Minnesota,

ORDER

Defendant.

The above-entitled matter came on before the undersigned pursuant to conciliation court filings of the plaintiff on the 3rd day of March 2011. Christopher A. Roller appeared representing himself. John Garry, Assistant Attorney General, appeared on behalf of the defendant.

Based upon all of the files, records and proceedings herein, the Court makes the following ORDER:


1. File 27-CO-11-499 is dismissed pursuant to the defendant's Motion to Dismiss for two reasons. It is dismissed, first of all, because the State's motion regarding immunity is granted. Secondly, it is dismissed because it involves allegations previously dealt with in a separate civil court file, in Hennepin County, which is presently on appeal to the Minnesota Court of Appeals.

2. Case Number 27-CO-11-502 is dismissed pursuant to the Motion to Dismiss made at today's hearing by the Attorney General regarding immunity. It is also dismissed as the allegations appear to be frivolous and delusional.

Dated:

3-7-11

BY THE COURT:



 Kathleen Gearin
 Judge of District Court

MEMORANDUM

During the hearing, Mr. Roller was concerned that people think he is a trouble-maker. He believes that "frivolous" and "trouble-maker" is, in fact, the same thing. While the Court finds these lawsuits without basis in law given the immunity argument made by the Attorney General, this does not mean Mr. Roller is a trouble-maker. A person can be frivolous due to a mindset or sincerely-held belief that does not recognize, or have any basis in, the laws and statutes of the court system.

JUDGMENT is declared and entered as stated in the Court's Order for Judgment set forth above, and the Judgment shall become finally effective on the date specified in the notice of judgment set forth below.

NOTICE: THE PARTIES ARE NOTIFIED that Judgment has been entered as indicated above, but the Judgment is stayed by law until MAR 31 2011 (to allow time for an appeal/removal if desired).

THE PARTIES ARE FURTHER NOTIFIED that if the case is removed to District Court and the removing party does not prevail as provided in Rule 524 of the Minnesota General Rules of Practice for the District Courts, the opposing party will be awarded \$50 as costs.

Dated: 3/8/11Court Administrator/Deputy: M. Regan