

State of Minnesota

Christopher Roller

(Plaintiff)

vs.

Allina Health Systems

(Defendant)

Judicial District: 4

County: Hennepin

File Number: 05-6530

Cast Type: Civil

Response to Defense's Motion to Dismiss Amendment to Oppose Motion to Dismiss

5 Yrs. Statute of Limitations - Minnesota statute 541.15(a)(2) states that on a disability occurs during the statute of limitations, that the limitation is extended for five years. I was declared disabled on February 18, 2002. Mishra's assessment and latest caging occurred on May 6, 2003 and Nov 1, 2002 respectfully - Minnesota Statute 541.15(a)(2) extends the statute of limitations backdated to May 5, 2000.

Caging - Civil commitment - 72-hour hold - placed into a cage, like an animal, where I cannot escape, for assessment, to determine if I'm safe to myself and/or society. Not a good place nor status for someone trying to run for the Presidency one future day. Picture your tame pet who's said the wrong thing at the wrong place at the wrong time, minus the tranquilizer dart and catch net.

Since defense wants to talk about 253B.01 and "good faith" in my cagings and assessments (Defense Intro and IV), please refer to "Amendment to Complaint - Conspiracy". It shows that psychiatrists are setting me up, and probably set me up to help cage me on 1 Nov 2002.

Response to Defense IV(c) - I took social security so I could feed my family. If Allina would've cut me a slander and conspiracy check early on, I wouldn't have had to apply for social security. The evidence of false statements - I'm god, recent proof via the David Copperfield case, and I'm filing this lawsuit to protest. Also, in all my documents, when I say I'm mentally-ill, that means the "perceived", via professional doctors, via the name calling. I'm not actually mentally-ill, as you can tell via my University of Florida GPA (3.78), impeccable Navy career, and nearly every facet of what I do in life. Only type 9 people (like psychiatrists) call me a loser.

Response to Defense IV - Even though a caging is care-free (non-restrictive) because of statute 253B.23, I'm suing for the assessment by Misrah on 6 May 2005 and the exit diagnosis (assessment) (not entry to) from Nov 1, 2002 caging. Defamation is not based on what's "published" in paper, but based on the actions people exhibit when they find out I'm mentally ill ("published via mouth"). It should be ok to talk about Easter 1999 caging (72-hour hold). My further cagings and diagnosis in my journey henceforth have come because of my initial diagnosis of mentally ill from Easter 1999. That's why I bring it up. Allina's slander gave reason for the caging, in New Ulm, by my mother, when I had a

frustrated/bad day in June, 1999 - instead of going to the park to cool off - or working out. Sioux Valley threw me into a cage instead of taking serious a potential poisoning. CIA, FBI, and Secret Service are playing pranks on my messages to them and no one is believing my side of the story. Allina's initial erroneous diagnosis (slander) has affected everyone's actions, and will continue to affect my future. Who will vote for a "potentially dangerous psychotic person". My story will one day soon be very public, just like Jesus in the Bible - people will find out about my story and my mental illness diagnosis. I will not hide the stupidity of the psychiatric community. We can delay the trial and watch the price tag increase as I and my diagnosis become world famous. And this doesn't include the mob conspiracy fueling all this behavior. MN statute 253B.23 allows the 72-hold (caging) without repercussions, but calling me mentally ill upon exit in Nov 2002, and all the office-visit name-calling (Mishra), is the defamation that leads to further social assaults by the public and medical community, in the form of more drugs, further cagings, and people's discrimination against me. And this exit-diagnosis is done without any medical tests, only a story about Chris Roller, Jesus, famous, a stud, and important - and godly powers on planet Earth, proved true recently via the David Copperfield case.

IV B - you mean, no one talks and gossips about mental illness. That's why discrimination laws were created, because wrongs are/were occurring. People do talk, and word gets out, despite "consent". Implicit "consent" is just as public as explicit "consent".

Requisite specificity - Response to Defense III - the defense must not be able to read my complaint. To repeat - psychiatrists are calling me mentally ill, psychotic, bi-polar, etc, which is leading to further social assaults by the public and medical community, in the form of more drugs, further cagings, and people's discrimination against me. Mishra - "that without my medication, I become agitated, paranoid, and psychotic". It's ok to say this to important people, but have \$billions to back it up.

According to the defense's referral of my 7 pending lawsuits, it's inferring that I am just a complaining troublemaker. Evidently, the defense has not heard about my troubled past, and the wrongs that people are doing, and I'm finally fed up and putting my foot down in the form of lawsuits. I would have filed suit earlier, but every lawyer I have talked to either claims I have no case (statute of limitations, or "that's just what psychiatrists do") or won't do it. In frustration, I finally realized the law protects me, via statute 541.15(a)(2) - no lawyer saw this, only I found this. So far, I'm doing pretty good as pro se, with the help of my 2 associates, (me) myself and I, utilizing my computer and internet search skills to plead my case effectively. Godly issues make everybody cringe in their seats - nobody wants to talk about this stuff, especially lawyers. Paula Semrow doesn't even mention my Copperfield lawsuit, the most important case on the planet, and the facts proving my "I'm god/jesus" case (Response to Defense IV(c) - proving evidence). My IQ is 158 (Top .1% of the planet). I talk and write more real/plain-spoken and clearer than most published writers. I respectfully ask the judge's consideration when I walk into a court room for a \$billion case representing myself. It would appear God wants Chris Roller to handle his legal issues, to announce Revelations to the world exclusively. And now that I've proven that godly powers are in use on planet earth, this is helping to bring forward all the evidence needed to show the mental illness discrimination and conspiracy perpetrated on Chris Roller.

Why stop at 7 lawsuits though. Paula Semrow could've picked any exhibit, Exhibit H, to portray an

example of 253B.23. If she again infers that I may murder somebody without treatment or commitment (psychiatrists have admitted this in person, and also with the written psychotic statements), then Bassford Remele better prepare for their own lawsuit against me. The reasons why psychiatrists aren't always right is because of statutes like Minnesota Statute 541.15(a)(2). So many wrongs were being done by people, including psychiatrists, like those at Sioux Valley, that extra years of limitations were needed to allow mind-numbing drugs to wear off, so victims could realize they were wronged. I'm speaking from experience. Extra laws were passed to protect the mentally-ill against people because they wrong them quite often - and psychiatrists qualify as people in most books except mine (they are type 9 in Amendment to Complaint - Conspiracy).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: _____

Christopher Roller (pro se)
13150 Harriet Ave. S #273
Burnsville, MN 55337
952.239.6410